

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov	13-1430	
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,799	06/18/2003	Kuo Lung Lei	68,700-015	6228
75	590 05/25/2005		EXAM	INER
TUNG & ASS	SOCIATES		WEISS, H	OWARD
Suite 120				
838 W. Long L			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			2814	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		a K
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	10/600,799	LEI, KUO LUNG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
7	Howard Weiss	2814
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mig statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status _.		•
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ 3)□ Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice units.	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-16 is (are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-16 is (are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Extended 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected.	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected t to the drawing(s) be held in abey correction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. iments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No on received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/97 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/600,799

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: 68,700-015

Filing Date: 6/18/03

Continuing Data: none

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none

Applicant(s): Lei

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0104651) and Lin et al. (U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0230798).

Kim et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figure 6) including:

- providing a plurality of chip portions 4 on a semiconductor wafer 20 said wafer having a first face and a second, opposite face
- > providing a cap 17 covering the active areas on said chip portions
- > applying a metalization 6 on one face of said cap
- forming a continuous solder bead 7 on the face of the cap with the metalization using an electroplating process step (Paragraph [0041])
- bringing the cap into face-to-face contact with the wafer such that the chip with the solder bead surrounds and contacts the metalization layer and the area the chip surrounding the active chip area
- > melting the solder bead to form a continuous, hermetic seal around the active chip area between the cap and the chip

Art Unit: 2814

Kim et al. do not show the electroplating step using a mask and cutting the wafer into individual die. Lin et al. teach (e.g. Figures 15 and 16 and Paragraphs [0099] to [0109]) teach to use a mask during electroplating solder and to cut the wafer into individual die to lower cost (Paragraph [100]). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a mask during electroplating solder and to cut the wafer into individual die as taught by Lin et al. in the process of Kim et al. to lower cost.

3. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. and Lin et al., as applied to Claim 12 above, and further in view of Cho (U.S. Patent No. 5,837,562).

Kim et al. and Lin et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 2) except for the use of spacers. Cho teaches (e.g. Figure 9) to use a spacer **14**"to seal the device (Column 5 Lines 11 to 21). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a spacer as taught by Cho in the process of Kim et al. and Lin et al. to seal the device.

4. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0104651) and Sparks et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,062,461).

Kim et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 2) except for applying the layer of metalization on entire face of the cap and removing said metalization not covered by said solder. Sparks et al. teach (e.g. Figures 2 and 3) to apply a layer of metalization 26 on entire face of a cap 12 and removing said metalization not covered by said solder (i.e. layer 28 would remain covered by the solder 7 as shown in Kim et al.) to simplify and complement processing of the cap (Column 4 Lines 23 to 25). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to apply a layer of metalization on entire face of a cap

Application/Control Number: 10/600,799

Art Unit: 2814

and removing said metalization not covered by said solder as taught by Sparks et al. in the process of Kim et al. to simplify and complement processing of the cap.

5. Claims 3, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. and Sparks et al., as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Lin et al.

Kim et al. and Sparks et al. et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 4) except for the electroplating step using a mask and cutting the wafer into individual die. Lin et al. teach (e.g. Figures 15 and 16 and Paragraphs [0099] to [0109]) teach to use a mask during electroplating solder and to cut the wafer into individual die to lower cost (Paragraph [100]). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a mask during electroplating solder and to cut the wafer into individual die as taught by Lin et al. in the process of Kim et al. and Sparks et al. to lower cost.

6. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al., Sparks et al. and Lin et al., as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Cho (U.S. Patent No. 5,837,562).

Kim et al., Sparks et al. and Lin et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 5) except for the use of spacers. Cho teaches (e.g. Figure 9) to use a spacer 14" to seal the device (Column 5 Lines 11 to 21). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a spacer as taught by Cho in the process of Kim et al., Sparks et al. and Lin et al. to seal the device.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1 to 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Additionally, Kim et al. (e.g.

Art Unit: 2814

Paragraph [0015]), Lin et al. (e.g. Paragraph [0100]) and new prior art reference Sparks et al. (e.g. Column 2 Lines 21 to 24) teach to form a solder bead for providing a continuous, hermetic seal around active chip areas between a cap and a chip (see rejections above).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. referred Applicants are to the Electronic Business Center http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this

- policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications will not be granted.
- 10 Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at (571) 272-1720 and between the hours of 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (571) 272-1705.
- 12. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 438/ 51, 119	thru 5/18/05
Other Documentation: none	
Electronic Database(s): EAST	thru 5/18/05

HW/hw 18 May 2005 Howard Weiss Primary Examiner Art Unit 2814